

“Women's Empowerment in Action: Evidence from a Randomized Control Trial in Africa”

American Economic Journal: Applied Economics, 2020

by Oriana Bandiera, Niklas Buehren, Robin Burgess, Markus Goldstein, Selim Gulesci, Imran Rasul, and Munshi Sulaiman

Jaime Arellano-Bover

Applied Micro in Stata Workshop

RoME, 2022

Motivation and research question

- Women empowerment (economic, control over their body) is slow in many developing countries
- Equilibrium trap:
 - Low human capital, restricted labor market access, limited control over body, dependence on men
 - Reinforcement loop
- Research question:
 - Is it possible to empower women and put them on better trajectory through a human capital accumulation intervention?

Summary of the paper

- Evaluate a program simultaneously offering adolescent girls in Uganda:
 1. “hard” vocational skills--to be able to earn money on their own
 2. “soft” life skills--build knowledge enabling girls to make informed choices (sex, reproduction, marriage)
- Outcomes:
 1. Economic empowerment
 - Related to employment, earnings, entrepreneurial ability
 2. Control over their body
 - Children, marriage, unwilling sex, pregnancy and HIV knowledge, contraceptives use
 3. Aspirations
 - Suitable age at marriage for man/woman, preferred no. children, preferred age for sons'/daughters' marriage

Empirical approach

- Randomized Controlled Trial (RCT)
- Randomize the creation of *ELA clubs*: 100 communities in Uganda randomly selected to host a club, 50 communities as controls
 - Club participation is voluntary
 - Eligibility based on gender and age (teenage girls)
- Sample teenage girls across treatment and control communities
 - Baseline (before), follow-up (2 years after), endline (4 years after)
- *Intention-to-Treat effect*:
 - Estimate the effect of having a club in the community, not the effect of attending the courses

Data

- Census of adolescent girls in 150 evaluation communities carried out at baseline
- From census, 5,966 girls were surveyed at baseline
 - Track respondents (as best as possible) at follow-up and baseline
- Unbalanced panel: 5,966 units and 3 periods
- Dataset:
 - Uganda ELA Panel wide.dta
 - Panel in wide format: one observation per girl